

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/815,816	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shefali D Patel	2621	

All Participants:

(1) Shefali D Patel.

(2) Shih-Jong J. Lee (Cust. No. 29,738).

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
1-2, 4-8, 11-13, -19, 21

 Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet


Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30pm


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

9/14/04
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called in order to cancel the "withdrawn" claims in an amendment filed on July 6, 2004 because the application was in condition for allowance. Mr. Lee agreed to cancel the withdrawn claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8, 11-12, and 21 by an examiner's amendment.